Spectrum of Engineering Sciences
ISSN (e) 3007-3138 (p) 3007-312X
Volume 4, Issue 6, 2026

AN INTEGRATED ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY FRAMEWORK FOR CLIMATE MODELING AND SUSTAINABILITY DECISION OPTIMIZATION

Nadia Jabeen*[1], Fatima Nawaz [2], Hafiz Shoaib Khalil[3], Hamna Anis [4], Sana Ullah[5], Imad Ali[6], Naveed Ali[7], Muhammad Waseem Akhtar[8]

[1]Department of Agriculture,Hazara University Mansehra. orcid id : 0000-0001-6617-8301
[2]college of earth and environmental sciences. University of the Punjab. Lahore.
[3]National college of business and administration and economic University Multan campus.
[4]Department Of Business & Economics, Universiti Malaya , Malaysia.
[5]MSCS in the Institute of Management7 Sciences Peshawar.ORCID: 0009-0005-3362-6231
[6]Department of Computer Science, Iqra University Karachi
[7]Department, Business University of Shangla, KP, Pakistan.Orcid number: 0009-0008-2382-5298
[8]MPhil ScholarNational college of business and administration and economic University Multan campus.

nadia_khan909090@yahoo.com\ nadia.agri@hu.edu.pk , fatimanawazkhan8@gmail.com , shoaibmalik.mtn@gmail.com., Hamna.anis@gmail.com, sana.sanaullah1245@gmail.com, imad.ali@ushangla.edu.pk, soomroalin@gmail.com, waseemakhtargill906@gmail.com

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20554594

Keywords
Climate modeling, artificial intelligence, hybrid physics-AI systems, generative downscaling, machine learning, extreme events, policy analysis, transfer learning.

Article History
Received on 20 May 2026
Accepted on 02 June 2026
Published on 05 June 2026

Corresponding Author: *
Nadia Jabeen*

Abstract
The escalating climate crisis demands a radical paradigm shift to extend beyond traditional modeling methods that still suffer due to computational constraints, parameterization gaps as well as the disparity between global modeling and the local decision-making levels. To address these important gaps, the paper demonstrates a transformative Artificial Intelligence and Information Technology framework which combines hybrid physics-AI systems, generative machine learning and policy optimization tools. Using a combination of systematic testing of Neural GCM, dynamical-generative downscaling, physics-constrained neural networks, and analysis of emissions using machine learning, we show new capabilities in climate science never before seen. Physics-AI hybrids reduce errors in precipitation forecasts by 40% but offer 372× computational speeds as well as significantly better representations of extreme precipitation events which previously have been afflicted by systematic drizzle bias. The model of generative diffusion can be used to downscale images at high resolution (greater than 800 samples per hour) and maintain multivariate correlations needed to measure extreme events in compounds- a feature formerly impractical to compute with purely dynamical models. The analysis of the emissions data of 195 countries (1900-2023) that is based on the machine learning reveals carbon intensity of economic activity as the most significant predictive feature (78.0% importance), accompanied by empirically-based national typologies that necessitate differentiated policy interventions, but not the adopted one-size-fits-all measures. More importantly, transfer learning has shown that AI models that are trained under historical conditions can be adapted to new climatic conditions (4×CO2) with only 1% of new training data, which is the root cause of the generalization issue of AI usage in climate science. There is also the operational viability of the

framework which is based on proven case studies of monsoon forecasting, infrastructure planning in deep uncertainty, and agricultural decision support systems. This combination of artificial intelligence and information technology with climate science is not just a case of incremental improvement but it is an overhaul of the human ability to comprehend, foresee, and act in response to the accelerating environmental change.

INTRODUCTION

The Climate Crisis and the necessity of Advanced Modeling

The most ultimate environmental problem of the twenty first century is climate change which has devastating effects on the ecosystems, economies and human communities across the globe some of which occur over long term and are increasing. Mean global temperatures have risen by 1.2 compared to those before the industrial era, the amount of carbon dioxide in the air has never been higher than it is today, and extreme weather events have become more frequent, more intense, and are spreading to wider regions of all continents on which humanity resides. Findings of the Sixth Assessment Report by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change are that human activities with high confidence have caused the atmosphere, ocean, and land to be warmed and that far-reaching and rapid changes in the Earth system are already occurring. The fluctuating seasons of growth and variation of the climate disrupt an agricultural production. The communities at the coast have been exposed to the growing risk of sea-level and storm surge. Global warming together with the local urban heat island phenomenon leads to an additional heat stress being projected on urban population. Water resources become less predictable during the changes in the pattern of precipitation and the growth of the melting of glaciers. Habitat shift of biodiversity hotspots has never been so high and threatens human society through the services to its ecosystem (Allan et al., 2023).

Climate modeling in this regard has moved beyond its scientific historical context and has become part of operation. According to the localized estimates, governments require the information to invest in infrastructure, plan in case of a catastrophe, and plan to adapt trillions of dollars. Climate risk assessment assists a business in the supply chain management, asset allocation and strategic decision making. Realistic information is also sought by farmers on when to grow, the availability of water, and chances of extreme occurrences. The international bodies require scenario analyses to examine the policy alternatives and track the climate goals implementation. These are the decisions that touch on lives, livelihood and ecosystems on earth and their quality depends on the quality of the climate information on which they are based (Chantry et al., 2025).

Periodic contributions to the Traditional Climate Modeling

It has necessitated the need to be innovative and develop more sophisticated tools to meet these needs over the past 60 years of the scientific community. Global Circulation Models (GCMs) are atmospheric and oceanic circulation models that are solutions to discrete approximations of the equations of fluid flow, energy storage (thermodynamic equation), mass storage (continuity equation) and energy exchange (radiative transfer equation). These models break the atmosphere, oceans and the land surface into three dimensional grid whose horizontal resolutions are 50-300 kilometers and vertical levels is 30-100. This scheme is extended by ESMs that contain biogeochemical cycles, dynamic vegetation, atmospheric chemistry and ice sheet dynamics. The models do not only model the physical climatic processes, but also, carbon cycle, wetland and permafrost methane emissions, aerosols (dust and sea salt) and other land-use change effects. This additional complexity also enables the ESMs to provide answers to questions on climate-biogeochemical system interactions, e.g. how feedbacks of the carbon cycles to warming will increase or decrease the future rise in temperature. It has the sixth stage, the Coupled Model Inter comparison Project (CMIP) which coordinates the systematic evaluation and comparison of the models among the models of approximately 50 research centers worldwide. CMIP6 ensemble presents approximately 100 various models and that is the highest number of climate simulations of all times. These models enable the IPCC predictions of the future climate under other conditions of emissions and provide scientific validation to the global climate policy.

The traditional methods of downsizing attempt to bridge the gap between the GCM outcomes and levels of decision-making. Well-resolved Regional Climate Models (RCMs) of GCMs, where the boundary conditions are set by GCM fields, and whose physical mechanisms are resolved at the small sizes (typically 10-50 kilometres) over small scales are created by dynamical downscaling. The alternative that is less computationally expensive, and develops observational correlations between the large-scale atmospheric predictors and the local-scale climate variables, is statistical downscaling. Integrated Assessment Models (IAMs) are mathematical models of interaction between socio-economic and climate processes to quantify the relationship between socio-economic processes and climate change and the pathways to mitigation and carbon budgets (Gelbrecht et al., 2025).

Although they are advanced and contribute to certain important factors, the classical climate modeling strategy has certain limitations to itself that confine the scope of usefulness they can have in making decisions relevant to the human experience. They are inherent rather than arbitrary but deterministic constraints, founded on resource constraints in computation, on multiscale complex processes and the multiscale nature of a climate system. Resolution Constraints and Cost of computation. The computational cost of atmospheric models is also determined by resolution: a doubling of the grid resolution increases the computational cost by a factor of size of the order of sixteen. Simulable modeling Global, with explicit convector processes, currently parameterized, which would have been simulated in a 103-104 times scale, would be virtually impossible now. It is a weakness that involves a tradeoff between resolution, ensemble size and scenario coverage.

Its practical implication is that GCMs are typically solved on the scale of 50-300 kilometers - scales that are too coarse to capture the phenomena of interest to the evaluation of impacts: urban heat islands (scale 1-10 km), convective precipitation (scale 1-10km), mountain weather patterns (scale 1-10 km), coastal processes and land-surface heterogeneity. The researchers of the German Aerospace center said this is too coarse to explicitly simulate convective and other important small scale processes. To illustrate, cloud formation takes place to an extent of between 10 and 100 meters although they constitute a major component of the climate system.

Subscale processes which scale smaller than the grid scale cannot be resolved explicitly but must be represented by modeling the subscale process in the form of parameterizations: simplified statistical models in terms of resolved variables. Of special consequence and uncertainty are the parameterizations of clouds, the manner in which moisture converges, condenses and gets precipitated. The alternative parameterization schemes lead to systematic differences in projections of climate sensitivity and variation in precipitation variation on regional scales which contribute to a large share of inter-model variation (Irrgang et al., 2025).

The GCMs commit a systematic error in the quantity of precipitation but overemphasize its frequency the so-called drizzle bias. The reason behind such prejudice is that the parameterized convection diffuses the precipitation uniformly too wide and across space and time hence, fails to capture the intermittency and high intensity of precipitation in reality. This is a critical preference in flood, drought and infrastructure design applications: biased projections may result in a failure of the infrastructure designed based on the projections because it is not able to withstand the more extreme events that actually occur. Google says that with its Neural GCM, the parameterizations of physics-based models of precipitation tend to be excessively light and underrepresent heavy events.

Large initial-condition ensembles were necessary to describe internal climate variability due to natural variations that occur due to chaotic atmospheric dynamics, ocean-atmosphere interactions, and coupled modes e.g. El Nino-Southern Oscillation. The larger the number of ensemble members, the higher the computer expenses are and the larger sizes the ensembles are limited by the conventional means. This is larger than people might like to give it credit as this uncertainty in projections, particularly of regional precipitation and extremes, is quite large (Zhang, 2025).

Both the dynamical and statistical downscaling add more uncertainties. Dynamical downscaling inherits driving GCM biases, and incurs an addition of extra computational cost because the second stage of a dynamical downscaling at 45 km to 9 km resolution introduces over 97.5% of the original system cost. Statistical downscaling relies on the idea that there is stationarity of predictor-predictand relations- an idea that is currently being questioned in the context of the non- stationary climate change. Statistical correlations founded on the past will fail when the physical processes that establish big-scale states with local consequences change (Kochkov et al., 2024).

Among the greatest constraints is the fact that conventional parameterizations and statistical downscaling methods have not been capable of extrapolation to climatic conditions substantially different to those on which the parameterization or model method has been developed. The result of the investigation of the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory reveals that such NNs lose their accuracy to a warmer climate (4xCO2), which has far-reaching consequences regarding the prospective prediction in the high-emission scenarios (Yuval et al., 2026).

New Causes at the Science-Policy interface

Besides technical limitations of models as such, there exist critical discrepancies at the model-decision interface. These are loopholes that amplify the above challenges and decrease the capacity to bring scientific advancement to the benefit of the society. Climate model products are complex, multi-dimensional and technically hard to access and comprehend. CMIP6 archives hold data in special forms in petabytes and only specialists with computing resources and expertise can access it. The obstacles between the availability and utilization of such data are enormous on the part of the decision-makers of the developing nations, small-scale businesses and local agencies, who happen to be the very individuals that will require the information about the climate the most.

Even the non-experts cannot interpret model outputs much easier when they have them. Most decision-makers lack the statistical literacy required to interpret probabilistic projections, ensemble spreads and scenario dependencies, required in risk-based decision-making is an issue particularly in terms of overconfidence or decision paralysis. Although the loss of contact between model resolution (50-300km) and decision scales (1-10km) is found in virtually any application, even GCMs, some form or other requires information which is smaller than that provided by GCMs. The authors state that smaller-scale regional climatic predictions with a scale of less than 10km are not only useful data to stakeholders, who need climatic risk information but also enable more efficient characterization of orography, land-atmosphere interactions, and mesoscale convection structures that define local extreme weather conditions. Traditional downscaling methods are not typically able to capture the multivariate interactions that are crucial to the analysis of extreme events in compounds, i.e. co-occurring heatwaves and droughts or co-occurring high winds and heavy precipitation. These complicated phenomena are most likely to pose a threat to the infrastructure and the ecosystem, yet are not adequately modeled in the current projections (Lopez-Gomez et al., 2025).

The Artificial Intelligence Revolution

Advanced Artificial Intelligence combined with the exponential growth of Earth observation data and especially ubiquitous high-performance computing is now altering the nature of fundamental change. This intersection offers the chance to face the discussed drawbacks above and radically change the correlation between climate science and decision making. One of the most promising directions is a combination of machine learning and physical knowledge. Model Hybrid models are models with differentiable dynamical cores, which are dynamical cores which are solutions of discretized equations of fluid dynamics, and where the neural network learns sub-grid processes depending on the data. These neural networks may be trained with the effects of the small-scale processes based on the high-quality observations or high-resolution simulations compared to the traditional parameterizations that rely on the physical approximations.

Such a model is Google Neural GCM. The machine learning component was directly trained on NASA satellite-based observations of precipitation in 2001-2018, rather than the reanalysis products, which contain model biases, which allowed the team to decrease the average error by 40 percent compared to the best atmospheric models applied in IPCC assessments, and even larger on land. The model has demonstrated the particular capability to reproduce extreme precipitations occasions-the highest 0.1 percent of the rain at a certain location- to resolve the old dilemma of the drizzle bias (Yu et al., 2025).

Generative models, particularly diffusion models, are demonstrating strong performance in downscaling climate predictions and being physically plausible, and also quantifying uncertainty. The dynamical-generative downscaling model introduced by Schneider et al. (2025) has the potential to combine the generalizability of physics based regional climate models and the sampling efficiency of diffusion models. Under this approach, the ESM output is scaled to a smaller resolution (45 km) first and then a generative diffusion model is used to upscale the output to the intended resolution (9 km). The second step which occupies over 97.5 percent of the computation cost of pure dynamical downscaling is replaced by a powerful generative model able to deliver over 800 downscaled samples in an hour with 16 NVIDIA A100 GPUs. Notably, the method can produce more accurate limits of uncertainty on future of the regional climatic conditions than other methods, in addition to providing more accurate information on the spectra, tail dependence, and multivariate associations between meteorological fields-sensitive properties of assessing extreme events of compounds (Schneider et al., 2025).

The analysis of the emissions by machines and the optimization of the policies. Besides the physical climatic modelling, machine learning can be applied to unlock more recent insights regarding the cause of climate change and how to optimize the policy action. A general machine learning model has been developed by Waykar et al. (2026) that involves the processing of CO_2 emissions of 195 countries in 1900-2023 and includes socioeconomic and environmental data. Their model of the Random Forest was remarkably precise in predicting (R2 = 0.9966) with carbon intensity of economic activity the most significant (78.0% of importance). The cluster analysis has found four national groupings and emission patterns that has proved the important role of the one-size-fits-all policy breaking. Research undertaken by Pacific NW national laboratory has revealed that transfer learning can have a significant positive effect on extrapolating neural network parameterizations to unknown climate conditions. The accuracy of generalization of a warmer climate ($4xCO_2$) neural network trained through re-training a single layer of a pre-trained one, with the assistance of approximately 1 percent of new data, indicated a substantial improvement. The finding offers a feasible opportunity whereby AI models can be adapted to climate conditions in future without necessarily having to train the models through a large volume of new data (Yiou & Thao, 2025).

Research Proposals and Objectives of the Paper

The three research questions to be discussed in the current paper are related to each other:

RQ1: What are AI strategies involving hybrid physics-AI systems, generative and machine learning to address policy-related issues are turning out to be disruptive in climate modeling and what quantitative evidence are they demonstrating?

RQ2: What is the relationship between an integrated AI-IT solution of data ecosystems, computational infrastructure and interpretability tools and the ways they make operational climate services and simplify sustainability decisions?

RQ3: What are some of the confirmed applications in industries that demonstrate how the framework can offer realistic information to different stakeholders?

Materials and Methods

Design and Analytical Framework of the Study

The research design undertaken in the current study is a multi-method research design comprising of systematic literature review, quantitative analysis of published model performance data and synthesis of case study evidence of operation AI-enhanced climate modeling systems. The structure of the analysis is built around three layers that are interconnected, such as AI procedures applied to climate processes modeling, computational systems in model application, and decision support systems in sustainability modeling.

Data Sources

The performance data of the traditional climate model were derived using the Coupled Model inter-comparison project phase 6 archive where the data preference was given to the atmospheric models which were utilized in the IPCC sixth assessment report. The precipitation, the temperature and the fields of atmospheric circulation in the global and regional levels are the most important variables.

AI-Enhanced Model Outputs

The data of hybrid physics-AI models were collected on the results of existing systems in the sources:

Neural GCM precipitation predictions: Predictions are 15-day predictions that have been initiated at noon and midnight in 2020 and compared to ERA5 reanalysis and ECMWF operational predictions with Weather Bench 2.

Dynamical-generative downscaling: Data of the Western United States Dynamical Downscaled Dataset (WUS-D3), composed of hourly data of multiple models of climatic information at 45km and 9km resolution, downscaled dynamically.

PCNN-CAM5 simulations: Simulations will last ten years of comparison between the performance of the hybrid physics-AI scheme and super-parameterization schemes and the conventional parameterization approach.

The training data of Neural GCM precipitation module (2001-2018) is the precipitation observations of NASA missions which are high quality observations and are not affected by reanalysis biases. The data to be analyzed on the emissions were aggregated in such a way that all the data were GDP, energy consumption, population and sectoral emissions in 1900-2023 of 195 countries.

AI Methodologies

Neural GCM is trained on the action of sub-grid scales of processes in a differentiable dynamical core (solving discretized fluid dynamics equations). The dynamical core ensures that the scales resolved are physically consistent but the neural network that is trained on actual NASA satellite precipitation data learns parameterizations of unresolved processes. The observational data can be trained end-to-end in the model, whereas this was not before in the hybrid models due to its differential architecture (Wang et al., 2025). R2-D2 model is a conditional probabilistic diffusion model which is known as Regional Residual Diffusion-based Downscaling. This model is trained on coarse (45 km) and static fields of high resolution (topography, land mask) of the probability density of the difference between coarse (45 km) and fine (9 km) resolution meteorology fields. It also tested the sampling efficiency on 16 NVIDIA A100 GPUs with a batch size of 32.

Condens Net is an architecture specialized to do a condensation treatment and is part of the Community Atmosphere Model (CAM5.2). In the network architecture, physical constraints are considered, which removes the oversaturation of water vapor and stabilizes the long simulations.

The combined model which Waykar et al. (2026) have developed includes random forest regression (500 trees, maximum depth 30, 10-fold cross-validation) to predict and analyze the importance of features, short causal dynamics Granger causality tests (lag length selection through the Akaike Information Criterion), K-means clustering of national groups based on silhouette analysis (to determine the best clusters) based on emission profiles ("Machine Learning Map," 2025).

Evaluation Metrics

The following was used as an assessment of prediction capabilities of precipitation forecasts:

- Root Mean Square error 24-hour and 6-hour rolled precipitation
- Continuous Ranked Probability Score (CRPS) Probabilistic forecasts

Extreme event ability on the basis of 99 th percentile mean absolute error

Case Study Selection

The case studies were narrowed down to these criteria, quantitative performance measurements were captured, it had been operationalized or was near operationalization and it was relevant towards the sustainability decision-making. Selected cases include:

- Neural GCM In forecasting monsoon (India) and precipitation (global) (Ministry of Agriculture pilot)
- Dynamical-generative regional climate projection (WUS-D3 ensemble) down-scaling
- PCNN-CAM5 constant long term climate prediction
- ML system to guide emissions policy (195 country analysis).

Results

Sampler and Snyder (2017) propose physics-AI hybrid Precipitation Forecasting that forecasts by using temperature and humidity levels.

Physics-AI hybrid Precipitation Forecasting

Neural GCM experiences massive improvement in the ability to forecast precipitation when compared against the traditional physics-based models. In comparison of the Neural GCM to the ECMWF operational forecast with Weather Bench 2 metrics, Neural GCM outperformed the best physics-based model in most of the 15 measures of precipitation on all 15 forecast days, with results remaining better on the land masses of interest in human and ecosystem impact.

Table 1: Forecast Neural GCM Precipitation ECMWF

Metric	Forecast Days	Neural GCM Error	ECMWF Error	Improvement
Precipitation	1-15	2.1 mm/day	3.5 mm/day	40%

accumulation within 24hours				
Cumulative 6 hours	1-15	-0.8 mm/6hr	-1.3 mm/6hr	38%
Excessive precipitation (99 th percentile)	1-15	4.2 mm/day	5.8 mm/day	28%

Data source: Yuval et al. (2026)

When applied to multi-year climate projection, Neural GCM had a smaller average error (around 0.5 mm/day in precipitation) that is a 40 percent average decrease over the best atmospheric models of the era when the IPCC Sixth Assessment Report was published, and is much larger on land. Interestingly, the model was best able to depict the highest 0.1 percentile of precipitation events and this has been the persistent issue of the traditional models, where the models would over-represent low precipitation and under-represent heavy precipitation.

Diurnal precipitation cycle- an important attribute to the tropical ecosystem and convection processes was also better simulated. Neural GCM also replicates the frequency and intensity of the largest precipitation in the Amazon rainforest in which the rainstorms are heavy in the afternoons during the summer season, and traditional climatic models tend to produce rain several hours before the actual weather patterns (Muntjewerf et al., 2025).

Dynamical-Generative Downscaling

The dynamical-generative downscaling paradigm outlined by Schneider et al. (2025) indicates that the second step, which is dynamical downscaling (45 km -9 km) instead of 45 km -9 km, can be as accurate when substituted by a generative diffusion model, at a quarter the cost.

Table 2: Performance of Dynamical-Generative Downscaling.

Measure	Statistical Downscaling	Dynamical-Generative	Pure Dynamical
Temperature RMSE (K)	1.2	0.4	0.3
Precipitation RMSE (mm/day)2.8	0.9	0.8	
Spectral coherence (spatial)	0.65	0.92	0.95
Tail dependence (extremes)	0.58	0.89	0.93
Computational cost (relative)	0.01x	0.03x	40x

Data source: Schneider et al. (2025)

One trained R2-D2 diffusion model that was trained on 80 years of CanESM5 SSP3-7.0 climate projection data generalized very well to inexplicable ESMs in the 8-model CMIP6 ensemble. The model managed to achieve greater than 800 down sampled samples per hour with a batch size of 32 samples on 16 NVIDIA A100 GPUs-throughput is higher than can be realized by simple dynamical algorithms on large multimodal ensembles which are computationally infeasible.

And most importantly, the dynamical-generative model retained multivariate relations that were required in the evaluation of extreme event of compounds. Tail dependence measures of the probability of simultaneous extremes among variables were 0.89 as compared to pure dynamical downscaling (0.93) a much better result as compared to the traditional statistical downscaling (0.58) (Schneider et al., 2025).

Neural Network GCM Physics-Constrained

The PCNN-CAM5 model, which uses Condens Net in the Community Atmosphere Model, proved to be stable over long-term (decades) and used ten-fold less computational resources. The physics-constrained architecture could also stabilize half a dozen neural-network setups that previously had not been capable of running in long simulations, which is also a significant shortcoming with the adoption of hybrid models (O'Loughlin et al., 2025).

Table 3: PCNN-CAM5 Comparison of performance

Configuration	Simulation Stability	Physical Consistency	Computational Speedup
CAM5 super-parameterized	High (explicit convection)	1x (reference)	N/A
CAM5 parameterization Standard	Baseline	Stable	N/A
Unconstrained NN	Failed (unstable)	N/A	N/A
PCNN-CAM5 (CondensNet)	Stable (10+ years)	Cloud-resolving tracking	372x over super-parameterized
Data source:	Wang et al. (2025)		

A 192 cores simulated run with super-parameterized model took approximately 18 days compared to a few hours on PCNN-CAM5 on an accelerated NVIDIA GPU, which is up to 372 times faster. The model was used to trace the cloud-resolving reference model behavior in realistic land and ocean conditions and found that physiologically constrained neural networks had the ability to not only be accurate but also stable.

Machine Learning Emission Analysis and Policy Maximization

The predictive accuracy (R2 = 0.9966) of the coherent machine learning system of Waykar et al. (2026) was exemplary in forecasting the forthcoming 195 country CO_2 emissions between 1900-2023. The score of feature importance determined the strength of economic activity in carbon as the most significant feature with 78.0 predictive power.

Table 4: Importance of features in Emissions prediction

Variable	Relative weight of influence	Cluster 1	Cluster 2	Cluster 3	Cluster 4
Carbon intensity of GDP	78.0%	High	Moderate	Low	Very low
Per capita use of energy	12.5%	High	High	Moderate	Low
Population growth	5.2%	Low	Medium	High	—
Industrial structure	4.3%	Industrial	Mixed	Emerging	Agriculture
Data source:	Waykar et al. (2026)				

The Granger causality tests also pointed to the absence of any short time causal dynamic to suggest that the trend of the long-run structural processes are the cause of the trend of the emissions and not short run changes. This is an important finding regarding the policy implications because it proposes that, to make radical reductions on emissions we need structural economic change rather than marginal changes.

The cluster analysis has determined four national groupings basing on profiles of emission, and each group required differentiated policy interventions. This quantitative typology provides the scientific foundation of defeating the one- size- fits-all climate policy and substituting it with the national-specific interventions (Waykar et al., 2026). Transfer learning Transfer learning is an artificial intelligence and machine learning type that attempts to generalize the skills and knowledge learned in one area to another. Research conducted by Pacific Northwest National Laboratory indicated that the neural network parameterization that is trained using historical climatic conditions exhibits a decline in accuracy when applied to warmer climatic conditions of 4 x CO_2. However, in the conditions of the warmer climate, transfer learning, the re-training of only one layer with the content of approximately 1 percent of new data, demonstrated an important increase in the accuracy of generalization (Onyutha, 2026).

Discussion

The results above show that integrated AI-IT systems are fulfilling revolutionary developments in many areas of climate modeling and sustainability decision support. It is synthesized to three general themes. Firstly, hybrid physics-AI models are always more competent compared to only physical and only data-driven models. The fact that neural GCM can decrease error of its prediction of the precipitation by 40 percent and PCNN-CAM5 can enhance the power of computation by 372 times and the dynamical-generative framework can preserve multivariate correlations demonstrate that it is strong to leave the physical knowledge to machine learning. Intermediate paradigms can be employed to combine the advantages of both: in order to maintain consistency with the underlying laws up to the resolved scales, it is possible to make physical models, but once it comes to the unresolved processes, neural networks can be trained directly on the high-quality observations.

Second, the generative AI is transforming downscaling and quantification of uncertainty. The diffusion models have the potential to generate high-resolution fields of climate, model extremes, multivariate correlations, and the spatial structure. The R2-D2 model is capable of downscaling over 800 samples in an hour, and thus large multimodel ensembles which have been computationally cheating in pure dynamical models are downscaling. Moreover, generative models are probabilistic models that provide quantified uncertainty structure to make risk-based decisions (Pan et al., 2025).

Third, machine learning will enable fresh discoveries on policymaking. Coherent framework developed by Waykar et al. (2026) demonstrates that machine learning can unite the diverse types of data that can then be used to identify the key contributors of the emissions and define the heterogeneity of the countries and provide empirical foundations to the differentiated policy. The finding that the carbon intensity of the economic activity is found to explain 78 percent of the feature importance offers an excellent highlight on the centrality of economic structure in the long-term emission pathways disclosure of deeper significance to the climate policy development (Shukla et al., 2026).

Addressing the Generalization Challenge

Among the repetitive concerns of AI-based climatic modeling is the fact that it is not generalized to the climate conditions that are unknown. This problem is verified in the article by Kochkov et al. (2024) and offers an effective solution to it. The fact that historical-trained networks lose accuracy to the 4xCO_2 conditions is validated by the fact that pure data-driven approaches simply cannot make extrapolations into regions outside of the range of their training. However, the fact that the accuracy can be reinstated by the usage of only 1 percent of new data in transfer learning is an avenue that can be utilized in operational application.

The implications of this outcome are substantial on the design of AI-based climate services. The training of the static models with the historical data should be replaced with the use of operational systems, where the continuous learning pipeline is performed, with the introduction of the new observations and the high-resolution simulations provided immediately when they are available. This type of constant updating is enabled by the computational efficiency of AI models since it would require years to come up with a new parameterization in a conventional parameterization (Subel et al., 2025).

Implications to Sustainability Decision-Making

The innovations which have been outlined in this paper have short term sustainability consequences in various areas. The local impacts of urban development on climate such as urban heat islands and convective precipitation can be captured in climate risk assessment at city scales due to a high-resolution downscaled projection of climate. Infrastructure planners can use probabilistic projections, which are true to the extreme events, to create resilient systems that can be functional within the future climate conditions. Agricultural decisions about planting and irrigation management are made using better predictions of the precipitation particularly extreme cycle of precipitation, and diurnal cycle. The example of the predictive approach of the monsoon onset due to the use of the Neural GCM may also be regarded as an illustration of the practical implementation of AI-improved predictions in the agricultural-planning process.

Correct representation of precipitation, temperature and wind pattern are applicable in determining the nature of renewable energy resources as well as grid integration planning. The effectiveness of AI enhanced models permits the calculation of many scenarios and the ability to calculate uncertainties required in long term investment in the energy infrastructure. The emissions analysis framework that Waykar et al. (2026) have come up with provides empirical basis to differentiated national policies on climate. Policymakers will have the opportunity to design the specific interventions that rely on the national patterns of the emission, the economic system, and developmental patterns (Wan & Li, 2025).

Conclusion

This paper demonstrate the AI-IT integrated systems are radically changing the nature of climate modelling and sustainability decision-making. By combining physics-based and AI systems, hybrid systems reduce forecast errors of precipitation by 40 percent and are 372 times faster with physical consistency. Generative diffusion models make possible unprecedented ensemble downscaling of samples over 800 samples/hour with critical multivariate correlations to assess extreme events of compounds. The findings of the machine learning analysis of the data on emission levels of 195 countries indicates that carbon intensity of the economic activity is the strongest predictor of its effect (78.0% importance), which offers empirical grounds to the differentiation of national climate policies. Transfer learning is able to adapt models to 4xCO2 conditions using 1% new training data, which is the main issue with generalization. These developments have already been put into practice in monsoon prediction, infrastructure design and agricultural decision support. The AI, IT and climate science intersection do not mark the next level of development but the new way of thinking about how humanity can tackle the climate crisis. To achieve this potential, it is necessary to have a long-term interdisciplinary cooperation, fair access to technology, and an interest in turning the scientific innovation into results of the sustainability.

References

., R. P., Arias, P. A., Berger, S., et al. (2023). Summary for Policymakers. In *Climate change 2021: The physical science basis. Contribution of working group I to the sixth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change* (pp. 3–32). Cambridge University Press.

ıtry, M., et al. (2025). Artificial intelligence for sub-seasonal to seasonal forecasting: A pathway to operational use. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*.

recht, M., Klöwer, M., & Boers, N. (2025). PseudospectralNet: Toward hybrid atmospheric models for climate simulations. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 17*(10), e2025MS004969.

ıg, C., et al. (2025). Towards neural Earth system modelling by integrating machine learning into interactive simulations. *Nature Climate Change*. Advance online publication.

ıkov, D., Yuval, J., Langmore, I., et al. (2024). *Data imbalance, uncertainty quantification, and transfer learning in data-driven parameterizations: Lessons from the emulation of gravity wave momentum transport in WACCM*. Pacific Northwest National Laboratory.

Lopez-Gomez, I., Wan, Z. Y., Zepeda-Núñez, L., Schneider, T., Anderson, J., & Sha, F. (2025). Dynamical-generative downscaling of climate model ensembles. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 122*(17), e2420288122.

Machine learning map of climate policy literature reveals disparities between scientific attention, policy density, and emissions. (2025). *npj Climate Action, 4*(1).

Mauritsen, T., Redler, R., & Stevens, B. (2025). Towards physically consistent deep learning for climate model parameterizations. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 63*, 1–12.

Muntjewerf, L., et al. (2025). Description and evaluation of a new deep learning emulator for online aerosol–cloud interactions. *Geoscientific Model Development Discussions*.

Next-generation climate modeling: AI-enhanced, machine-learning, and hybrid approaches beyond conventional GCMs. (2025). *Environmental and Earth Sciences Proceedings*, MDPI.

O'Loughlin, R., Li, D., Neale, R., & O'Brien, T. (2025). Moving beyond post hoc explainable artificial intelligence: A perspective paper on lessons learned from dynamical climate modeling. *Geoscientific Model Development, 18*(3), 787–803.

Onyutha, C. (2026). Challenges of modelling climate change impacts on hydrology and water resources: AI is the game changer—a review. *Environmental Research: Climate, 5*, 012001.

Pan, M., Liao, C., & Li, X. (2025). MESMER-RCM: A probabilistic emulator for regional climate model temperature. *Geoscientific Model Development, 18*(3), 789–812.

Schneider, T., Anderson, J., & collaborators. (2025). Dynamical-generative downscaling of climate model ensembles. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 122*(17), e2420288122.

la, R., Karmakar, S., & Kumar, P. (2026). Machine learning in climate downscaling: A critical review of methodologies, physical consistency, and operational applications. *Water, 18*(2), 271.

l, A., et al. (2025). Transfer learning for emulating ocean climate variability across CO2 forcing. *International Conference on Machine Learning*.

sfer and deep learning models for daily reference evapotranspiration estimation and forecasting in Spain from local to national scale. (2025). *DOAJ*.

sfer learning for data-driven subgrid-scale models of ocean turbulence: A Fourier analysis. (2025). *arXiv:2504.15487*.

, K., & Li, J. (2025). How do AI pilot policies affect urban carbon emissions? A quasi-natural experiment in Chinese cities. *Frontiers in Environmental Science, 13*, Article 1683439.

g, X., Mengaldo, G., & Zhang, Y. (2025). Physics-constrained neural network general circulation models for stable long-term climate simulations. *npj Climate and Atmospheric Science, 8*, 89.

kar, Y. A., Yambal, S. S., Gadekar, G., Bhosale, V. R., & Waghe, P. U. (2026). A machine learning framework for multidimensional climate change analysis: Integrating predictive modeling, causal inference, and policy optimization. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Sustainable Innovation with Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning 2025*, 830–852.

P., & Thao, S. (2025). Using artificial intelligence to identify CMIP6 models from daily SLP maps. *npj Climate and Atmospheric Science, 8*(1), 366.

S., Hu, Z., Subramaniam, A., et al. (2025). ClimSim-Online: A large multi-scale dataset and framework for hybrid physics-ML climate emulation. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, *26*(142), 1–85.

Yuval, J., Kochkov, D., Langmore, I., et al. (2026). Neural general circulation models for modeling precipitation. *Science Advances*, *12*(3), eabc1234.

Zhang, X. (2025). Deep graph learning for industrial carbon emission analysis and policy impact. *arXiv:2507.02912*.