

THE ROLE OF INTEGRATED DIGITAL WORKSPACE PLATFORMS (IDWPS) AND PERSONALIZED NUDGING IN REDUCING EMPLOYEE CYBER-LOAFING

Muhammad Tariq Siddiqui^{*1}, Ambreen Ashfaq², Muhammad Kamran Ashfaq³, Sarim Zafar⁴, Fatima Zafar Farooqui⁵, Ariba Khan⁶

^{*1,3}Hamdard University, Pakistan

^{2,5,6}Baqai Institute of Health Management Sciences, Baqai Medical University, Pakistan

⁴Department of Public Health, Baqai Medical University, Pakistan

^{*1}tariq.zayab@gmail.com

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19386218>

Keywords

Integrated Digital Workspace Platforms, Cyber-Loafing, Digital Nudging, Personalized Nudging, Workplace Distraction, Digital Transformation

Article History

Received: 31 January 2026

Accepted: 15 March 2026

Published: 31 March 2026

Copyright @Author

Corresponding Author: *

Muhammad Tariq Siddiqui

Abstract

This study investigates the interactive effects of Integrated Digital Workspace Platform (IDWP) integration and AI-powered personalized nudging on employee cyber-loafing. A quantitative longitudinal field experiment was conducted with 199 employees in technology-driven organizations in Karachi over twelve weeks. Participants were randomly assigned to four conditions based on platform integration (high vs. low) and nudging intensity (high versus low frequency). Cyber-loafing was measured through system-logged data capturing time spent on non-work-related digital activities during work hours. Two-way ANOVA results revealed a significant main effect of platform integration, $F(1, 195) = 324.83, p < 0.001, \eta^2 = 0.625$, indicating that employees using highly integrated platforms engaged in substantially more cyber-loafing ($M = 29.32$ minutes) than those with low-integration platforms ($M = 12.79$ minutes). However, the main effect of nudging intensity was non-significant, $F(1, 195) = 0.88, p = 0.349$, and the hypothesized interaction effect between platform integration and nudging intensity also failed to achieve significance, $F(1, 195) = 0.16, p = 0.688$. These findings suggest that while platform integration significantly influences cyber-loafing, AI-powered personalized nudging as operationalized in this study did not reduce non-work digital activity nor counterbalance the distractive effects of highly integrated workspaces. The results challenge the growing optimism surrounding digital nudging as a workplace intervention and highlight the need for alternative strategies. Organizations should prioritize thoughtful platform design and consider complementary approaches such as digital wellness initiatives. Future research should explore adaptive nudging mechanisms, varied delivery formats, and longer intervention periods to identify conditions under which digital nudging may effectively mitigate workplace cyber-loafing.

INTRODUCTION

The modern workplace has undergone a profound digital transformation, characterized by

the widespread adoption of Integrated Digital Workspace Platforms (IDWPs) such as Microsoft

Teams, Slack, and similar ecosystems. These platforms are designed to enhance productivity by unifying communication, collaboration, and workflow tools into a single, accessible interface (Dave, 2024). By centralizing applications, they aim to reduce context switching and streamline work processes. However, this very centralization presents a paradoxical challenge: while IDWPs are intended to boost efficiency, they simultaneously create a concentrated hub for digital distractions. Notifications, social channels, and non-work-related content are now readily accessible within the same environment as critical work tasks, inadvertently fostering an environment where cyber-loafing, the act of engaging in non-work-related digital activities during work hours, can thrive. This phenomenon represents a significant and growing managerial challenge in an era of remote and hybrid work.

In response to this challenge, organizations are increasingly exploring interventions that go beyond traditional, punitive monitoring systems. Among these, digital nudging has emerged as a promising, non-intrusive strategy. Rooted in behavioral economics, digital nudges are subtle modifications to the user interface or information presentation that guide behavior without restricting choice (Bergram et al., 2022). In the workplace, AI-powered personalized nudging offers a sophisticated evolution of this concept. These systems leverage artificial intelligence to deliver automated, context-aware alerts designed to refocus employee attention. For example, a nudge might inform an employee, "You have spent 30 minutes on a news site," or suggest, "Let's refocus on your priority task." Such interventions are non-punitive and aim to foster self-regulation rather than enforce compliance.

The existing literature provides a strong foundation for understanding these individual elements. Research on IDWPs highlights their role in consolidating digital environments, though it often focuses on benefits like collaboration and information sharing rather than the potential for increased distraction (Dave, 2024). Concurrently, a growing body of work explores the efficacy of digital nudging across various domains. Systematic reviews by

Sadeghian and Otarkhani (2024) and Jóhannsdóttir et al. (2025) have mapped the landscape of digital nudging, demonstrating its potential to influence user behavior in contexts ranging from e-commerce to digital health. Studies such as those by Demir and Akbıyık (2025) have examined the impact of nudging on user interaction with e-platforms, while Fornari et al. (2025) have explored its role in digital transformation initiatives. However, much of this research has examined nudging in isolation or within specific applications like online learning or consumer platforms, with less focus on its application as a countermeasure for workplace cyber-loafing.

A significant gap in the literature is the lack of empirical investigation into the synergistic interplay between the digital environment created by IDWPs and behavioral interventions like personalized nudging. While a highly integrated platform can centralize distractions, high-intensity, personalized nudging may offer a counterbalancing mechanism that guides employees back to productive tasks. The research by Kwon et al. (2025) touches upon the mediating role of knowledge management and the moderating effect of trust in digital nudging, suggesting that the context and delivery of nudges are critical to their success. Similarly, Vinella et al. (2022) and Syed et al. (2025) have explored personalization in digital systems, highlighting that tailored interventions are more effective than generic ones. However, the specific question of whether personalized nudging can effectively mitigate the distractions inherent in highly integrated digital workspaces remains unexplored. This represents a critical void, as organizations invest heavily in both integrated platforms and employee wellness initiatives without a clear understanding of how these forces interact.

The rationale for this study is therefore rooted in the practical need to understand how to manage digital distraction in an increasingly interconnected work environment. The central premise is that the same technology that enables productivity also enables distraction, but a thoughtfully designed intervention can help tip

the balance. This study posits that a digitally agile workforce, guided by subtle and personalized cues, can harness the benefits of integrated platforms while mitigating their potential downsides. The primary purpose of this research is to investigate the interactive effect of IDWP integration and AI-powered personalized nudging on employee cyber-loafing. Specifically, it aims to examine the direct relationships between platform integration and cyber-loafing, and between nudging intensity and cyber-loafing. Crucially, it hypothesizes a significant interaction effect: that high levels of personalized nudging can counterbalance the potential increase in cyber-loafing associated with high levels of platform integration. By testing this interaction, the study seeks to provide empirical evidence for a novel, non-punitive approach to managing digital distractions, offering actionable insights for organizations seeking to foster a focused and productive digital work environment.

Methodology

This study employed a quantitative, longitudinal field experiment to examine the interactive effects of Integrated Digital Workspace Platform integration and AI-powered personalized nudging

on employee cyber-loafing. Data were collected over a twelve-week period from 199 employees working in technology-driven organizations in Karachi that utilized Microsoft Teams or Slack as their primary digital workspace. Participants were randomly assigned to four conditions based on two levels of platform integration (high versus low) and two levels of nudging intensity (high frequency versus low frequency). Platform integration was operationalized as the number of applications unified into a single interface, with high integration defined as six or more integrated applications. Nudging intensity was manipulated through automated, AI-powered alerts delivered via the platform, with the high-intensity group receiving three to five personalized nudges daily focusing on attention refocusing. Cyber-loafing was measured through system-logged data capturing time spent on non-work-related digital activities during work hours, supplemented by self-reported logs for validation. Data were

analyzed using two-way ANOVA to test the hypothesized main and interaction effects. Ethical approval was obtained, and participant privacy was maintained through anonymized data collection procedures.



Results

Table 1 Descriptive Statistics for Cyber-Loafing by Platform Integration and Nudging Intensity

| Level of Integration | IDWP | Frequency of Personalized Nudging | AI-Powered | Mean | Std. Deviation | N |
|-------------------------|------|-----------------------------------|------------|-------|----------------|-----|
| Low Integration | | Low Intensity | | 13.44 | 6.997 | 52 |
| | | High Intensity | | 12.22 | 7.342 | 60 |
| | | Total | | 12.79 | 7.178 | 112 |
| High Integration | | Low Intensity | | 29.54 | 4.594 | 48 |
| | | High Intensity | | 29.05 | 5.703 | 39 |
| | | Total | | 29.32 | 5.096 | 87 |
| Total | | Low Intensity | | 21.17 | 10.029 | 100 |

| Level of Integration | IDWP | Frequency of Personalized Nudging | AI-Powered | Mean | Std. Deviation | N |
|----------------------|------|-----------------------------------|------------|-------|----------------|-----|
| | | High Intensity | | 18.85 | 10.650 | 99 |
| | | Total | | 20.02 | 10.382 | 199 |

The descriptive statistics reveal a substantial difference in cyber-loafing based on platform integration. Employees using highly integrated platforms reported significantly higher cyber-loafing (M = 29.32 minutes) compared to those

with low-integration platforms (M = 12.79 minutes). Nudging intensity showed minimal variation within each integration level, with means differing by less than one minute across both conditions.

Table 2 Two-Way ANOVA Summary

| Source | SS | df | MS | F | *p* | η^2 |
|--------------------------|----------|-----|----------|--------|---------|----------|
| Platform Integration (A) | 13167.70 | 1 | 13167.70 | 324.83 | < 0.001 | 0.625 |
| Nudging Intensity (B) | 35.75 | 1 | 35.75 | 0.88 | 0.349 | 0.005 |
| A × B | 6.56 | 1 | 6.56 | 0.16 | 0.688 | 0.001 |
| Error | 7904.82 | 195 | 40.54 | | | |

Note. N = 199. $R^2 = 0.630$.

The two-way ANOVA results reveal a significant main effect of platform integration, $F(1, 195) = 324.83$, $p < 0.001$, $\eta^2 = 0.625$, indicating that employees using highly integrated platforms engaged in substantially more cyber-loafing than those with low-integration platforms. This large effect size suggests platform integration explains 62.5% of the variance in cyber-loafing. However, the main effect of nudging intensity was non-significant, $F(1, 195) = 0.88$, $p = 0.349$, and the interaction effect was also non-significant, $F(1, 195) = 0.16$, $p = 0.688$. These findings indicate that personalized nudging did not reduce cyber-loafing, nor did it counteract the distractions associated with highly integrated platforms.

Discussion

The findings of this study reveal a significant main effect of platform integration on cyber-loafing, with employees using highly integrated digital workspaces reporting substantially more non-work digital activity (M = 29.32 minutes)

with low-integration platforms (M = 12.79 minutes). This finding aligns with the work of Dave (2024), who noted that while integrated platforms consolidate tools for productivity, they simultaneously create centralized environments where distractions are readily accessible. The large effect size ($\eta^2 = 0.625$) underscores the profound impact that workspace architecture has on employee focus and digital behavior.

Contrary to expectations, the main effect of nudging intensity was non-significant, and the hypothesized interaction between platform integration and nudging intensity failed to achieve significance. These results challenge the growing optimism surrounding digital nudging as a solution for workplace distractions. The non-significant interaction suggests that personalized nudging did not effectively counterbalance the distractive effects of highly integrated platforms. This finding diverges from studies such as Demir and Akbıyık (2025), who demonstrated the positive impact of digital nudging on user

interaction with e-platforms in distance learning contexts. Similarly, Sadeghian and Otarkhani (2024) documented the potential of data-driven nudging to influence behavior across various domains, while Bergram et al. (2022) systematically reviewed empirical research supporting nudging effectiveness.

Several factors may explain the ineffectiveness of nudging in this context. First, the nature of workplace distractions may be more resistant to subtle interventions than consumer or health-related behaviors. As noted by Jóhannsdóttir et al. (2025) in their systematic review of digital nudging in health technologies, nudging effectiveness varies considerably across contexts and populations. Second, the non-significant results align with the concerns raised by Khan et al. (2025), who emphasized that trust and ethical perceptions moderate the effectiveness of digital nudging. Employees may perceive nudges as intrusive or paternalistic, diminishing their intended effect. Verma and Arora (2025) similarly noted that successful nudging requires careful design to achieve influence without intrusion.

The null findings also resonate with challenges identified in personalization research. Sved et al. (2025) highlighted that personalization in workplace settings requires careful appropriation to be effective, while Vinella et al. (2022) demonstrated that nudging techniques yield varying outcomes depending on user characteristics and task contexts. The work of Chiam et al. (2024) on algorithmic nudging for personalized health revealed that timing, frequency, and delivery mechanism critically influence nudge effectiveness. In the current study, the standardized nudging approach may have lacked the contextual sensitivity necessary to capture employee attention meaningfully.

Furthermore, the integration of digital nudging into workplace systems may face implementation challenges. Fornari et al. (2025) documented that nudging interventions in digital transformation contexts require sustained organizational commitment and alignment with user needs. Subramanian and Agarwal (2022) proposed that smart nudge frameworks must incorporate

adaptive mechanisms that learn from user responses. The non-significant findings in this study suggest that static, frequency-based nudging may be insufficient to overcome the strong distractive pull of highly integrated digital environments. Yang and Han (2025) similarly observed that the effectiveness of digital nudges depends on their integration with broader behavioral design strategies rather than functioning as standalone interventions.

Conclusion

This study concludes that platform integration significantly influences cyber-loafing, with highly integrated digital workspaces associated with substantially greater non-work digital activity. However, AI-powered personalized nudging failed to reduce cyber-loafing or counterbalance the distractive effects of integrated platforms. These findings suggest that subtle behavioral interventions may be insufficient to overcome the strong attentional pull of centralized digital environments. Organizations should prioritize thoughtful platform design and consider alternative strategies such as digital wellness initiatives. Future research should explore adaptive nudging mechanisms and longer intervention periods to enhance effectiveness.

REFERENCES

- Demir, E., & Akbıyık, A. (2025). Examining the impact of digital nudging on user interaction with E-platforms: a study of working professionals in distance learning. *Education and Information Technologies*, 30(14), 20879-20910.
- Sadeghian, A. H., & Otarkhani, A. (2024). Data-driven digital nudging: a systematic literature review and future agenda. *Behaviour & Information Technology*, 43(15), 3834-3862.

- Fornari, F., Marconi, A., Bekx, N., Verbraeken, V., Cole, L., Casaccio, F., ... & Berger, R. (2025). NUDGING DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION IN HIGHER EDUCATION: RESULTS AND TOOLS FROM THE NUDGING360° PROJECT. In *ICERI2025 Proceedings* (pp. 7934-7940). IATED.
- Bergram, K., Djokovic, M., Bezençon, V., & Holzer, A. (2022, April). The digital landscape of nudging: A systematic literature review of empirical research on digital nudges. In *Proceedings of the 2022 CHI conference on human factors in computing systems* (pp. 1-16).
- Verma, T., & Arora, D. S. (2025). Influence Without Intrusion: Decoding the Role of Digital Nudging in Shaping Online Decisions. *Electronic Commerce Research*, 1-40.
- Khan, I., Bibi, M., Saleem, M., & Khan, A. (2025). Digital Nudging and Organizational Foresight: The Mediating Role of Knowledge Management Engagement and the Moderating Effect of Trust and Ethical Perceptions. *Journal for Current Sign*, 3(4), 1480-1498.
- Dave, B. L. (2024). An Integrated Cloud-Based Financial Wellness Platform for Workplace Benefits and Retirement Management. *International Journal of Technology, Management and Humanities*, 10(01), 42-52.
- Jóhannsdóttir, L. G., Sigurðardóttir, S. G., Óskarsdóttir, M., & Islind, A. S. (2025). Digital nudging in digital health technologies: a systematic review. *Health and Technology*, 15(6), 1037-1051.
- Vinella, F., Mosch, R., Lykourantzou, I., & Masthoff, J. (2022, July). The impact of digital nudging techniques on the formation of self-assembled crowd project teams. In *Proceedings of the 30th ACM Conference on User Modeling, Adaptation and Personalization* (pp. 265-275).
- Syed, H. A., Bhattacharjee, S., Adil, Z., Ulya Seerat, S., Yousfani, N., Kumar, A., ... & Wulf, V. (2025). Personalization by Design: A Case Study on CardaFit's Appropriation in Workplace Settings. In *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Communities & Technologies (C&T 2025)*. European Society for Socially Embedded Technologies (EUSSET).
- Yang, R., & Han, Y. (2025). Digital nudges and gamification: how the China state promotes citizens to manage chronic diseases in neighborhoods. *BMC Public Health*, 25(1), 3928.
- Subramanian, G., & Agarwal, R. (2022, October). Smart Nudge Framework for Digital Platforms. In *International Joint Conference on Advances in Computational Intelligence* (pp. 641-653). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.
- Chiam, J., Lim, A., & Teredesai, A. (2024, August). Nudgerank: Digital algorithmic nudging for personalized health. In *Proceedings of the 30th ACM SIGKDD conference on knowledge discovery and data mining* (pp. 4873-4884).
- J., Lim, A., & Teredesai, A. (2024, August). Nudgerank: Digital algorithmic nudging for personalized health. In *Proceedings of the 30th ACM SIGKDD conference on knowledge discovery and data mining* (pp. 4873-4884).