Spectrum of Engineering Sciences
ISSN (e) 3007-3138 (p) 3007-312X
Volume 4, Issue 1, 2026

A BRIEF ON POTENTIAL HAZARDS OF 5G WIRELESS TECHNOLOGY: CURRENT EVIDENCE, CONTROVERSIES, AND RESEARCH GAPS

Dawar Awan[1], Muhammad Uzair Khan[*2], Muhammad Zia[3], Syed Ehtisham Gillani[4], Saadia Tabassum[5], Muhammad Lais[6]

[1,*2,3,6]*Department of Electrical Engineering Technology, Shuhada-e-APS University of Technology, Nowshera, Pakistan.*
[4]*School of Engineering, Edith Cowan University, Western Australia.*
[5]*Department of Electronics Engineering Technology, Shuhada-e-APS University of Technology, Nowshera, Pakistan.*

[*2]uzair@uotnowshera.edu.pk

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18359764

Keywords

Article History
Received: 23 November 2025
Accepted: 04 January 2026
Published: 18 January 2026

Corresponding Author: *
Muhammad Uzair Khan

Abstract

The deployment of fifth-generation (5G) mobile networks has raised concerns regarding potential health effects of radiofrequency electromagnetic field (RF-EMF) exposure. Unlike previous generations, 5G uses higher-frequency millimeter waves, wider spectral bands, increased base station density, and adaptive beamforming, creating novel exposure patterns not fully addressed by current guidelines. Thermal effects from tissue heating are well-characterized and mitigated under existing limits, but emerging evidence suggests possible non-thermal interactions, including oxidative stress, altered cell signaling, and changes in gene expression. Case reports have described headaches, fatigue, sleep disturbances, and cognitive difficulties near 5G installations, though small sample sizes, self-reporting, and limited dosimetry constrain interpretation. Significant gaps remain regarding long-term exposure, vulnerable populations, and health impacts of millimeter-wave, pulsed, and beamformed signals. This article provides a brief crux of the reviews available in the literature, and highlights the need for standardized dosimetry, mechanistic studies, and longitudinal epidemiological research to clarify potential risks and inform evidence-based regulatory policies.

1. INTRODUCTION

Large connections, reduced latency, and increased data throughput are the goals of the fifth generation (5G) of mobile telecommunications. 5G systems use a broader spectrum than earlier generations (1G–4G), including high-frequency millimeter waves (MMWs) over 6 GHz and frequencies below 3.5 GHz. This has sparked worries about potential health issues related to increased exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields (RF-EMF). Even if regulatory bodies claim that 5G dosages below standards are safe, some experts argue that safety laws may not sufficiently address non-thermal biological impacts. [1]

Researchers have examined the possible effects of radio frequency (RF) radiation on living organisms since the first radio towers were erected. Humans are unable to perceive or detect electromagnetic radiation below and beyond the frequencies of visible light, save from thermal effects. Other radiation sources (like nuclear) that have demonstrated detrimental effects on health made it easy to confuse different types of radiation. In addition to the well-known dangers of nuclear power, such as the possibility of nuclear weapons and catastrophe, the public is perplexed by the difference between ionizing and nonionizing radiation. [2]

Numerous published test results have addressed power, frequency, and dosimetry, all of which target real or speculative health issues of live organisms. Due to the widespread and ubiquitous nature of mobile communication, these problems are more urgent than ever. Humanity is unable to keep up with the rapid advancement of technology.

Unlike the review articles presenting detailed discussion, this article attempts to briefly discuss the crux of the detailed reviews available in the literature. The following sections present discussion on technical basis of 5G RF exposure, mechanisms of interaction, Evidence from Experimental and Epidemiological Studies, reported symptoms and case studies, regulatory and scientific consensus, research gaps and conclusion.

2. Technical Basis of 5G RF Exposure

5G technology represents a substantive departure from earlier generations of mobile communication systems, not only in terms of achievable data rates and latency but also in its physical deployment architecture, spectral utilization, and signal characteristics. One of the most notable distinctions is the increased density of transmitting infrastructure, including the widespread deployment of low-power small cells positioned at or near ground level to support high data throughput and beamforming capabilities. This densification results in more spatially heterogeneous exposure patterns compared to previous macrocell-based networks.

From a spectral perspective, 5G operates across a broad range of frequencies, encompassing both sub-6 GHz bands and higher-frequency millimeter wave (mmWave) bands typically above 24 GHz. The biophysical interaction of radiofrequency electromagnetic fields (RF-EMF) with human tissues varies markedly across this spectrum. Millimeter wave frequencies exhibit shallow penetration depths, with the majority of absorbed energy confined to superficial tissues such as the epidermis, dermis, and ocular surface. In contrast, lower-frequency bands around 3 GHz are capable of penetrating more deeply into biological tissues, potentially interacting with underlying structures and organs. These frequency-dependent absorption characteristics are central to ongoing discussions regarding exposure assessment and biological relevance.

Current international exposure guidelines, including those developed by the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP), are grounded primarily in the prevention of established adverse health effects associated with RF exposure, particularly excessive tissue heating resulting from energy absorption. Accordingly, regulatory limits are expressed in terms of specific absorption rate (SAR) for lower frequencies and power density for higher frequencies, with safety margins designed to prevent acute thermal damage. While these standards are widely adopted and endorsed by regulatory agencies worldwide, they are largely predicated on thermal mechanisms and do not explicitly incorporate potential non-thermal biological interactions, a consideration that has prompted continued scientific debate and calls for further targeted research. [1]

3. Established Mechanisms of Interaction

3.1 Thermal Effects

Radiofrequency (RF) electromagnetic waves can induce biological effects through the absorption of electromagnetic energy, which, at sufficiently high power densities, leads to tissue heating. When the absorbed energy exceeds the body's thermoregulatory capacity, localized or whole-body temperature elevations may occur, potentially resulting in protein denaturation, disruption of cellular membranes, altered metabolic processes, and, in extreme cases, thermal burns or heat-related cellular damage. These thermally mediated effects are well characterized and form the primary scientific basis for existing RF exposure standards. To prevent such outcomes, international guidelines specify quantitative exposure limits. For frequencies below approximately 6 GHz, exposure is typically regulated using the specific absorption rate (SAR). The International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) sets a localized SAR limit of 2 W kg^{-1} averaged over 10 g of tissue for occupational exposure and 0.08 W kg^{-1} for whole-body averaged exposure in the general public, incorporating substantial safety factors. For frequencies above 6 GHz, including millimeter wave bands used in 5G, limits are expressed in terms of incident power density, with a reference level of 10 W m^{-2} for the general public and 50 W m^{-2} for occupational exposure.

Empirical measurements and exposure modeling studies indicate that typical ambient RF exposures from 5G base stations are several orders of magnitude below these limits. Reported power density levels in public environments commonly range from 0.001 to 0.1 W m^{-2}, corresponding to less than 1% of the ICNIRP reference level. Similarly, user equipment such as smartphones operating under adaptive power control typically produces localized SAR values well below the regulatory maximum, often in the range of 0.1–1.0 W kg^{-1} under worst-case conditions. Consequently, when evaluated against established quantitative thresholds, average environmental and device-related exposures associated with 5G infrastructure are not expected to induce biologically significant thermal effects under normal operating conditions and regulatory compliance. [1]

3.2 Non-Thermal Biological Effects

Beyond the well-established thermal mechanisms, several researchers have proposed that radiofrequency electromagnetic field (RF-EMF) exposures occurring below recognized thermal thresholds may induce non-thermal biological interactions. Experimental studies have reported a range of such effects, including alterations in intracellular calcium signaling, changes in cell membrane permeability, elevated levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS), and modulation of gene and protein expression. For example, some in vitro investigations have observed increased oxidative stress markers and reduced antioxidant enzyme activity in neuronal and reproductive cell lines following prolonged low-intensity RF exposure. Other laboratory studies have reported changes in the expression of stress-related genes, heat-shock proteins, and genes involved in DNA repair pathways, despite the absence of measurable tissue heating.

Animal studies have similarly suggested potential non-thermal responses. In certain rodent models, chronic exposure to RF fields at levels below regulatory limits has been associated with increased lipid peroxidation, altered neurotransmitter levels, and subtle behavioral or cognitive changes. Additionally, experiments examining membrane dynamics have proposed that RF-EMF exposure may influence ion channel function or membrane receptor activity, thereby affecting cellular signaling cascades without inducing significant temperature elevation.

Despite these reported observations, the biological relevance and reproducibility of non-thermal effects remain highly contested. Many studies suffer from methodological limitations, including insufficient dosimetric precision, lack of sham-exposed controls, inadequate temperature monitoring, and variability in exposure duration and modulation parameters. Moreover, independent replication efforts have frequently failed to confirm initial findings, and reported effects often vary across species, cell types, and experimental conditions. As a result, while specific studies have suggested plausible non-thermal interaction pathways, the current body of evidence does not yet provide a consistent or mechanistically robust framework sufficient to establish causality. These uncertainties highlight the need for standardized exposure protocols, rigorous dosimetry, and large-scale replication studies to clarify whether such non-thermal effects represent genuine biological phenomena or experimental artifacts. [3]

4. Evidence from Experimental and Epidemiological Studies

4.1 Reviews of RF Fields Above 6 GHz

A state-of-the-art review evaluated more than 100 experimental trials on high-frequency RF fields similar to those utilized in 5G. The authors claim that although certain bioeffects have been observed in vitro and in vivo, there is no evidence that low-level RF fields above 6 GHz have detrimental health effects at concentrations below ICNIRP guidelines. The majority of studies had methodological problems, and effects could not be reliably related to RF frequency alone.[3]

4.2 In Vitro and Cell Studies

Numerous studies have looked at biological responses to 5G and RF exposures, such as DNA damage, oxidative stress, and changes in gene expression. However, the results have been inconsistent, and many studies lacked appropriate control conditions or dependable replication. Some describe DNA strand breaking in animal models, despite the lack of independent proof. [4]

4.3 Epidemiology and Cancer Risk

As of yet, no substantial epidemiological studies have found a link between 5G exposure and an increased risk of cancer. Both industrial radar exposure and exposure to RF fields from earlier mobile technologies (2G–4G) were included in epidemiological analysis as analogues; neither showed any evidence of an elevated risk of cancer at typical exposure levels. [3]

Critics, however, argue that human research is still inadequate to adequately assess long-term risks, particularly for novel frequency ranges unique to 5G. Until trustworthy long-term data are available, independent experts have called for more impartial evaluations and caution in implementation. [5]

5. Reported Symptoms and Case Studies

A recent compilation of case reports originating from Sweden described a series of individuals who reported the onset of various neurological and systemic symptoms, including persistent headaches, fatigue, impaired concentration, dizziness, and sleep disturbances, following the installation of nearby 5G base stations in residential areas. According to the authors, these symptoms emerged temporally in relation to the activation of 5G infrastructure and were reported to diminish or resolve after the affected individuals relocated to environments with lower perceived radiofrequency exposure. Such observations have been cited as suggestive of a possible association between environmental RF exposure and subjective health complaints.

Nevertheless, the methodological limitations of this case series substantially constrain the interpretation of its findings. The study involved a relatively small number of participants (n = 16), limiting its statistical power and generalizability. Moreover, symptom assessment relied primarily on self-reported health outcomes, which are inherently susceptible to recall bias, reporting bias, and psychosocial influences, including heightened risk perception. In addition, exposure characterization was based on non-standardized or incomplete measurements, lacking precise dosimetric quantification of individual RF-EMF exposure levels over time.

Importantly, while case reports and case series can play a valuable role in generating hypotheses and identifying potential areas of concern, they cannot establish causal relationships in the absence of a robust epidemiological framework. Without appropriate control groups, longitudinal follow-up, blinded exposure assessment, and adjustment for confounding variables, such observational accounts remain insufficient to determine whether the reported symptoms are directly attributable to 5G-related RF exposure or to alternative environmental, psychological, or lifestyle factors. Consequently, these findings underscore the need for well-designed population-based studies employing standardized exposure metrics and objective health endpoints to rigorously evaluate potential associations. [6]

6. Regulatory and Scientific Consensus

6.1 World Health Organization (WHO)

Exposure to wireless technologies, including 5G, has not been directly associated with any negative health effects, and exposures below international standards are not anticipated to be harmful, according to the WHO. Continuous reviews aim to include new scientific information as it becomes available. [7]

6.2 ICNIRP and IEEE

The IEEE Committee on Man and Radiation and ICNIRP state that current exposure limits protect against known detrimental health effects linked to radiofrequency fields, including exposures from 5G technology. These suggestions address both acute and chronic limitations and adjust for different frequencies. [8]

7. Debates, Limitations, and Research Gaps

7.1 Gaps in Research

Despite decades of research on radiofrequency (RF) electromagnetic fields, substantial knowledge gaps remain regarding the long-term biological and health effects of chronic exposure, particularly in the context of rapidly evolving 5G communication systems. While extensive studies have addressed exposures associated with legacy mobile networks (2G–4G), the unique characteristics of 5G—including higher frequency bands, adaptive beamforming, and pulsed or modulated signals—introduce novel exposure patterns for which robust human data are largely lacking. Notably, there is a paucity of controlled studies examining millimeter-wave (mmWave) exposure in humans, with most available information derived from in vitro or animal models, which may not fully capture the complex interactions occurring in real-life environments.

Further, vulnerable populations, such as children, pregnant women, and individuals with preexisting health conditions, remain underrepresented in research, despite the potential for differential susceptibility due to developmental, anatomical, or physiological factors. Similarly, non-thermal mechanisms of interaction, including oxidative stress, alterations in cell signaling, and subtle neurophysiological effects, remain poorly characterized and insufficiently integrated into exposure assessments.

In addition, technical aspects of 5G—such as beamforming, spatially dynamic exposure patterns, and high-frequency pulsed signals—pose significant challenges for dosimetry and risk evaluation. Few studies have quantified real-world exposure from these technologies in everyday settings, and longitudinal epidemiological studies tracking health outcomes over years or decades are virtually nonexistent. Collectively, these gaps highlight the urgent need for systematic, multidisciplinary research programs that combine precise exposure assessment, mechanistic investigations, and rigorous long-term epidemiological monitoring to accurately evaluate potential health risks associated with 5G technologies. [9]

7.2 Calls for Independent Evaluation

Some experts argue that safety evaluations may be skewed by commercial and regulatory interests, and that more independent, comprehensive research is needed to resolve ambiguities about potential hazards, especially with breakthrough technologies that modify exposure patterns. [10]

7.3 Animal and Mechanistic Studies

Reliable animal models and mechanistic studies are needed to ascertain if prolonged exposure under real climate conditions could result in non-thermal repercussions including oxidative stress, immune system modulation, or subtle brain abnormalities. [11]

8. Conclusion

The discussion concludes with the following key points

a) 5G uses radiofrequency electromagnetic fields that include millimeter waves and lower frequencies.

b) Known health effects from RF exposures primarily involve **thermal heating** at high intensities; current guidelines are designed to prevent this.

c) **Strong scientific consensus** currently holds that 5G exposures **within established limits** do not cause adverse health effects.

d) Some **experimental studies and case reports** suggest possible bioeffects or symptoms at lower exposures, but **methodological limitations and lack of replication** prevent definitive conclusions.

e) Substantial **research gaps remain**, especially regarding long-term effects and non-thermal mechanisms.

f) Even if regulatory organizations and several scientific studies do not find proven health risks from 5G exposures at levels comparable with international recommendations, ongoing research and strict monitoring are essential given technological innovation and public concern. Extensive long-term studies, improved dosimetry, and transparent scientific evaluation are necessary to eliminate ambiguities.

References

Khalil, Sonia, and Najmaldin Ezaldin Hassan. "Assessing the impact of radiofrequency radiation from cellular towers on human health: A comprehensive review." (2024).

Wersényi, György. "Health issues using 5G frequencies from an engineering perspective: Current review" Open Engineering, vol. 12, no. 1, 2022, pp. 1060-1077. https://doi.org/10.1515/eng-2022-0387

Bushberg JT, Chou CK, Foster KR, Kavet R, Maxson DP, Tell RA, Ziskin MC. IEEE Committee on Man and Radiation-COMAR Technical Information Statement: Health and Safety Issues Concerning Exposure of the General Public to Electromagnetic Energy from 5G Wireless Communications Networks. Health Phys. 2020 Aug;119(2):236-246.

Karipidis, K., Mate, R., Urban, D. *et al.* 5G mobile networks and health—a state-of-the-science review of the research into low-level RF fields above 6 GHz. *J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol* **31**, 585–605 (2021).

Hardell L, Carlberg M. Health risks from radiofrequency radiation, including 5G, should be assessed by experts with no conflicts of interest. Oncol Lett. 2020 Oct;20(4):15. doi: 10.3892/ol.2020.11876. Epub 2020 Jul 15. PMID: 32774488; PMCID: PMC7405337.

Hardell, Lennart and Nilsson, Mona. "Summary of seven Swedish case reports on the microwave syndrome associated with 5G radiofrequency radiation" Reviews on Environmental Health, 2024.

Radiation: 5G mobile networks and health. (n.d.). https://www.who.int/news-room/questions-and-answers/item/radiation-5g-mobile-networks-and-health

Redmayne M, Maisch DR. ICNIRP Guidelines' Exposure Assessment Method for 5G Millimetre Wave Radiation May Trigger Adverse Effects. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2023 Mar 27;20(7):5267.

Redmayne M, Maisch DR. ICNIRP Guidelines' Exposure Assessment Method for 5G Millimetre Wave Radiation May Trigger Adverse Effects. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2023; 20(7):5267.

Hardell L, Carlberg M. Health risks from radiofrequency radiation, including 5G, should be assessed by experts with no conflicts of interest. Oncol Lett. 2020 Oct;20(4):15. doi: 10.3892/ol.2020.11876. Epub 2020 Jul 15. PMID: 32774488; PMCID: PMC7405337.

Karipidis K, Mate R, Urban D, Tinker R, Wood A. 5G mobile networks and health-a state-of-the-science review of the research into low-level RF fields above 6 GHz. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol. 2021 Jul;31(4):585-605. doi: 10.1038/s41370-021-00297-6. Epub 2021 Mar 16. PMID: 33727687; PMCID: PMC8263336.